
Utah Public Library Service 2000

Published by the
UTAH STATE LIBRARY DIVISION
Department of Community and Economic Development

Prepared By: Sandi Long Utah State Library Division **Utah Public Library Service:** 2000 An Annual Report Published by the UTAH STATE LIBRARY DIVISION Department of Community and Economic Development

Published by the Department of Community and Economic Development David Winder, Executive Director

> Utah State Library Division Amy Owen, Director 250 North 1950 West, Suite A Salt Lake City, UT 84116-7901



Utah's Public Libraries

- **▲** Public Library Jurisdictions
- County Bookmobile Library Jurisdictions



Utah State Library Division



Contents

Preface	V
Interpretative Information	v
Introduction	
Map Showing Location of Public Libraries in Utah	2
Statewide Library Performance Indicators	3
Introduction	3
Core Performance Measures	3
General Tables	4
Interpreting Measures	4
Core Performance Measures	5
Visits Per Capita	6
Circulation Per Capita	
Turnover Rate	8
Holdings Per Capita	9
Expenditures Per Capita	
Local Financial Effort Index	
Table of Index of Local Financial Effort	
General Tables	
Analysis of Basic Library Information	
Public Service Hours Per Week	
Visits Per Hours Open	
Outlets Per 1,000 Population	
Staff Per 1,000 Population	
Staff Per Library Jurisdiction	
Table of Basic Library Information	
Analysis of Library Services	
Comparison of 1999 and 2000 Circulation	
Cost Per Circulation Transaction	
Circulation Load Per 1.0 FTE Staff Member	
Reference Questions Per 1,000 Circulation Transactions	
Table of Library Services	
Analysis of Library Resources	
Comparison of Number of Items Held in 1999 and in 2000	
Comparison of Number of Subscriptions in 1999 and in 2000	
Online Databases	
Make-up of the Collection	
Table of Library Resources	
Analysis of Online Access	
Public Access Internet Stations	
Public Access Internet Stations per 1,000 Population	
User Sessions of Library Electronic Resources	
Table of Online Access	
Analysis of Library Finances	
Expenditure Unicornes as a Percentage of Unerating Budget	50

Local Maintenance of Effort	31
Percent Change in Local Maintenance of Effort, 1998-2000	31
Table of Library Finances	32
Table of Comparison of 1999 and 2000 Operating Expenditures	36
Table of Jurisdictional Maintenance of Effort Comparison	38

Preface

The purpose of this preface is to define certain obscure data elements that are reported in the tables, to delineate changes in data element definitions and reporting procedures over the last few years, and to point out occurrences which would affect individual library statistics for the reporting year.

Interpretative Information

In using and interpreting the information in this report, it is important to note the following limitations. First, Utah's cities and counties have differing fiscal years. Thus the data published here for cities reflects the July 1, 1999 to June 30, 2000 fiscal year. County data covers the calendar year, January 1 to December 31, 2000. Second, in most cases all items of data are reported exactly as supplied by the responding library. However, obvious errors and discrepancies were discussed with the librarians and were corrected.

Changes in Definitions and Reporting Procedures

The General Tables section of this year's report has been completely revised. These alterations reflect the changes in library programs and usage over the past few years. Some summary tables have been eliminated; others were moved. New information has also been added, including a sub-section on Online Access. This new sub-section contains data on the number of Internet workstations available to the public, the number of times users accessed those workstations, and the availability of a library's online catalog from a remote location. A brief description and list of the public *PIONEER* databases is also included.

Explanation of Some Data Elements

Public Service Hours:

In the past, libraries reported "Duplicated" and "Unduplicated Service Hours", based on a complicated procedure of computation. It was determined that the "duplicated hours" (i.e. regularly posted schedule for all outlets) was the most helpful statistic., and libraries now report their total weekly scheduled hours, as well as a yearly figure that takes into account changes in schedules, holidays and other closures.

Local Maintenance of Effort and Local Financial Effort:

One of the provisions of the Public Library Development Grant agreement is that the local jurisdiction continues to support the library at the same level as in the past. The *Jurisdictional Maintenance of Effort Comparison* table (pages 38-39) reflects the extent to which funds have been maintained. This form of maintenance of effort differs from the *Index of Local Financial Effort* (pages 11-12), which shows how much support jurisdictions provide for library service relative to their financial capacity.

Income that is received by a library jurisdiction from another local government entity (city or county) for providing free library service to the latter's residents is reported separately as "Local Government Contracts." This "contracted" money is reported for the service jurisdiction in all operating income and expenditure figures. However, only the amount actually funded by the local jurisdiction is reported for level of effort (pages 11-12) and maintenance of effort (pages 38-39). Therefore, if a library jurisdiction holds such service contracts, the amount reported for its maintenance of effort will be less than the amount for operating expenditures, and maintenance of effort will be higher than operating expenditures for those jurisdictions contracting for the service. In cases where the contracting jurisdiction has no local library service, the amount will not appear at all on the level of effort or maintenance of effort tables. Populations for those two tables are also adjusted to reflect just the local jurisdictional populations.

Library Anomalies

Each year special circumstances arise in one library or another that may effect their statistics. Those circumstances are listed below. In some cases, the special circumstance may have happened last year and would be detailed in last year's report.

Tooele was closed for a few weeks while they transferred the collection to their new building.

Conclusion

This publication contains only a portion of the data collected by the State Library Division for the 2000 fiscal year. Many of the charts and tables in this report show summary data only. Using the full set of data elements reported to the State Library for FY 2000, comparative data and a variety of performance measures can be calculated to assist local librarians and elected officials in planning for improved library services. Those wishing additional information may contact Sandi Long at the State Library Division for assistance (telephone: 801/715-6741 or 800/662-9150, or e-mail to slong@state.lib.ut.us).



Introduction

Statewide Library Performance Indicators

Introduction

This annual report of public library services is part of *The Upgrade Process*. This process is a tool for Utah's public librarians and library trustees to use as they work to improve the quality and effectiveness of public library service. The process has three major components:

- Public library service standards;
- A flexible planning process for public library trustees and staff; and
- An evaluation component that includes the use of performance measures.

The Upgrade Process is based on two major premises:

- ♦ That formal planning for improved library services is the responsibility of local librarians, board members, and elected officials; and
- ♦ That local planning can be more effective when it is supported in an appropriate statewide context.

This annual report contributes to creating that statewide context by reporting data useful for local library planning. This information is presented in two sections: core performance measures and general tables.

Core Performance Measures

Because of their potential application to library services planning, and because of their usefulness as general indicators of library service on a statewide basis, six measures have been designated as core performance measures:

- ♦ Visits Per Capita
- ♦ Turnover Rate
- ♦ Expenditures Per Capita
- Circulation Per Capita
- ♦ Holdings Per Capita
- ♦ Index of Local Financial Effort

Pages 5 to 12 provide statewide summary data for these performance measures. Breakouts are also provided by the population of the library jurisdiction. For each measure, the text gives a general description and identifies some of the factors affecting the measure.

General Tables

The general tables, pages 13-39, report basic library information on a library by library basis. Seven tables are given: Basic Library Information, Library Services, Library Resources, Online Access, Library Finances, Comparison of Operating Expenditures, and Jurisdictional Maintenance of Effort Comparison. With each table, additional measures are reported in consolidated charts, and some of the general tables provide trend data for selected library activities.

Interpreting Measures

Although community and individual library circumstances vary, the core measures and general tables can assist Utah's public librarians and trustees as they evaluate library activities and plan improvements in library services. The State Library Division can provide more detailed information to libraries on request. Interested librarians and trustees can obtain their scores on the core performance measures as well as requesting the calculation of additional measures based on their current and past annual reports.

As librarians and trustees use these measures, a number of points should be kept in mind.

- ♦ Analyze the library's scores in terms of library mission, goals, and objectives.


 Libraries, even in communities of similar size, have varying funding patterns and community expectations for service. A reference and resource library will show a different pattern of statistics than a popular materials library will.
- ♦ Realize that online databases and Internet have changed library use patterns.

 Although statewide and national statistics are being collected and reported on some data elements for this service, individual libraries should be tracking further usage in their own locations.
- ♦ Remember that performance measure scores are not absolutes.

 Performance measures are not necessarily precise. Most measures express ratios or are calculated in percentages. Do not be too concerned about "decimal points."
- ♦ Use performance measures in context.

 There are no "right" or "wrong" scores for performance measures. One performance measure alone cannot tell a complete story. Performance measure scores should be interpreted in context with each other and with the library's overall service program and budget.
- ♦ Exercise patience in working toward improving performance measure scores.

 Changing a library's score on a performance measure is usually not done overnight. Such a change usually requires work over an extended period of time. Suggestions for improving a library's score on selected performance measures can be found in The Upgrade Process manual available from the State Library Division.



Visits Per Capita

Visits Per Capita relates the number of people coming into the library to the population of the community its serves. It can be thought of as representing the average number of times during a year that a member of the community used the library. Visits Per Capita is calculated by dividing the library's total annual attendance by the community population.

Factors That Influence the Measure

- Fluctuation in the size of the community
- ♦ Library hours of service—number of hours and schedule
- ♦ Physical arrangement and convenience of the library facilities
- ♦ Community awareness of library service
- Special programs—story hours, book discussions, lectures, etc.
- Library circulation and acquisitions policies, loan period, fines
- Age, condition, and breadth of the library's collection

Interpretation and Use

Visits Per Capita is one measure of the community's use of the library, whether for materials, programs, or meetings. A high score on the measure indicates heavy use of the facility. A low score may indicate several things: For example, 1) the hours the library is open does not fit the needs of the community; 2) the library's collection and/or programming does not meet the community's interests or needs; 3) residents may be unaware of what the library had to offer; 4) the facility may be uninviting.

Visits Per Capita

Population	Number		Visits Per	r Capita	
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	11	4.9	5.1	8.6	1.1
2,500 – 9,999	19	6.7	5.5	22.0	2.4
10,000 – 24,999	18	4.1	4.4	8.5	0.8
25,000 – 99,999	5	4.9	6.3	7.3	0.2
100,000 – Up	4	5.4	4.7	9.1	3.2
Statewide Totals	57	5.1	5.1	22.0	0.2

Circulation Per Capita

Circulation Per Capita relates the number of items a library circulates to the population of the community it serves. It can be thought of as representing the average number of items checked out in a year by a member of the community. Circulation Per Capita is calculated by dividing the library's total annual circulation by the community population.

Factors That Influence the Measure

- Fluctuation in the size of the community
- Increase or decrease in the number of items circulated annually
- Library circulation and acquisitions policies, loan period, fines
- ♦ Special programs—story hours, book discussions, lectures, etc.
- ♦ Community awareness of library service
- ♦ Library hours of service—number of hours and schedule
- ♦ Number of library users
- Age, condition and breadth of the library's collection
- ♦ Physical arrangement and convenience of the library facilities

Interpretation and Use

Circulation Per Capita is another measure of the community's use of the library. A high score on this measure indicates heavy use of the library's circulating materials. A low score may indicate several things: for example, 1) the library's collection may not meet community needs or interests; 2) community residents may be unaware of the library's resources; or 3) the library may have an extensive collection of non-circulating materials.

Circulation Per Capita

Population	Number		Circulation	Per Capita	
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	12	11.2	10.6	21.8	5.1
2,500 – 9,999	26	9.1	8.9	17.5	3.7
10,000 – 24,999	20	7.7	7.0	16.0	1.6
25,000 – 99,999	6	10.6	11.6	16.7	1.5
100,000 – Up	5	10.5	10.1	13.4	4.9
Statewide Totals	69	10.0	8.6	21.8	1.5

Turnover Rate

Turnover Rate measures the activity of a library's collection, indicating the number of times each unit of library material would have circulated during the year if circulation had been spread evenly throughout the collection. It is calculated by dividing the library's total annual circulation by total library holdings.

Factors That Influence the Measure

- ♦ Increase or decrease in the annual circulation
- ♦ Circulation policies—loan period, fines
- ♦ Library acquisitions and collection development policies
- Special programs—story hours, book discussions, lectures, etc.
- ♦ Community awareness of library service
- ♦ Library hours of service—number of hours and schedule
- ♦ Number of library users
- ♦ Age, condition and breadth of the library's collection
- Physical arrangement and convenience of the library facilities

Interpretation and Use

This measure relates strongly to the goals each library has set for meeting the service needs of its community. A library which emphasizes the circulation of popular reading materials will have a higher Turnover Rate than a library which emphasizes subject breadth in its collection and has an extensive reference collection.

Turnover Rate

Population	Number		Turnove	er Rate	
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	12	0.9	1.2	2.4	0.2
2,500 – 9,999	26	1.8	1.7	3.5	0.7
10,000 – 24,999	20	2.6	2.9	4.5	0.8
25,000 – 99,999	6	4.6	4.1	5.7	2.3
100,000 – Up	5	4.0	3.2	5.5	2.5
Statewide Totals	69	3.5	2.0	5.7	0.2

Holdings Per Capita

Holdings Per Capita relates the number of items a library owns to the population of the community it serves. It assesses collection size, not collection quality. To calculate Holdings Per Capita, divide the library's total holdings by the community population.

Factors That Influence the Measure

- Size of the collection
- Fluctuation in the size of the community
- ♦ Size of the library materials budget
- ♦ Library collection and weeding policies
- ♦ How the library defines its holdings

Interpretation and Use

Holdings Per Capita is one measure of the match between the size of your library's collection and the community it serves. This measure must be interpreted in connection with other measures, since collection size alone is not necessarily an indicator of quality. For example, Turnover Rate and Circulation Per Capita can be helpful in interpreting this measure.

Holdings Per Capita

Population	Number		Holdings P	er Capita	
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	11.4	10.8	24.9	4.7
2.500 – 9,999	26	5.0	4.9	13.2	2.4
10,000 – 24,999	20	2.9	2.4	12.9	1.2
25,000 – 99,999	6	2.3	2.5	4.5	0.7
100,000 – Up	5	2.6	2.0	4.3	1.8
Statewide Totals	70	2.9	3.9	24.9	0.7

Expenditures Per Capita

Expenditures Per Capita relates a library's operating expenditures to the population of the community it serves. The figure is obtained by dividing the library's total operating expenditures by the community population. The calculations are based just on a library's operating expenditures (personnel, collection and operations) because Capital Outlay figures may vary dramatically from year to year.

Factors That Influence the Measure

- ♦ Overall local economic conditions
- ♦ Changes in the tax base of local government
- ♦ Demands on local government for all public services in general and library service specifically
- Community perception of the importance and value of library services
- ♦ Political climate
- ♦ The extent to which certain services (custodial, utility, administrative functions, etc.) are charged to the library's budget
- Changes in the availability of state and federal grants

Interpretation and Use

In general, Expenditures Per Capita reflects the community's financial support in relation to its size, although operating expenditures from other sources of income are included. Communities with a lower tax base usually must make a proportionally greater effort to support adequate library services. Although Expenditures Per Capita must be interpreted in conjunction with other measures to document the library's performance, it can provide important information for use by elected officials and the general public as they review the library's budget. To obtain a closer picture of a community's financial support, see Local Financial Effort (pages 11-12) and Maintenance of Effort (pages 31, 38-39)

Expenditures Per Capita

Population	Number	Expenditures Per Capita			
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	\$19.10	\$17.89	\$41.55	\$12.46
2,500 – 9,999	26	\$21.32	\$16.72	\$68.00	\$6.29
10,000 – 24,999	20	\$15.23	\$13.90	\$56.49	\$4.10
25,000 – 99,999	6	\$20.23	\$24.13	\$32.39	\$2.52
100,000 – Up	5	\$28.00	\$22.03	\$48.29	\$13.33
Statewide Totals	70	\$24.31	\$17.04	\$68.00	\$2.52

Local Financial Effort Index

The Local Financial Effort Index measures the extent of local government financial support to library services. The financial capacity of Utah's local governments varies, as some cities and counties are wealthier than others.

To measure local financial effort, a common "index point" must be defined. The property tax levy for library services authorized by the *Utah Code* can be used for this purpose. Title 9, Chapter 7 of the *Code* specifies that cities and counties may levy a tax, not to exceed .001 of assessed valuation, as a separate property tax to support library services. Even though not all local governments finance their library services from property taxes, and even though local governments may use other revenue sources to fund library services in excess of the property tax limitation, this figure can be used as an index to indicate local effort.

The index is derived by multiplying the city or county's major sources of revenue (assessed valuation plus local sales and use tax) by .001. This yields a hypothetical maximum figure which the city or county could appropriate to the public library. This figure is then divided into that portion of the library's total operating expenditures that is derived from income received from the local governmental entity. The result is multiplied by 100, yielding an index that reflects the effort made by the local government to support library services in terms of that government's financial capacity.

The dollar figure in parentheses after each library jurisdiction (Index of Local Financial Effort Table on page 12) is the operating expenditures per capita from local government funds. These figures, in conjunction with the index, show that the wealthier counties may spend more dollars, but may be making a small effort than the less affluent counties.

Factors That Influence the Measure


- ♦ Overall local economic conditions
- ♦ Changing tax base
- ♦ Demands on local government for all public services, in general, and library service, specifically.
- Community perception of the importance and value of library service
- ♦ Political climate

Interpretation and Use

Knowing the extent to which a city or county government is providing all the financial support possible for its library is valuable information for public librarians and their boards. In submitting and defending their budgets, librarians and trustees must know that the amounts requested are realistic given the funding base of their community.

Index of Local Financial Effort

	Libraries Grouped by Effort Index Ranges					
Population Category	3.8—15.0	23.8—44.8	45.1—62.4	63.5—144.6		
0—2,499	Daggett Co. (\$8.31) Kane Co. (\$9.49) Rich Co. (\$12.85)	Piute Co. (\$7.35) Beaver Co. (\$49.13) Gunnison (\$6.35) Beaver (\$11.61)	Helper (\$11.12) Minersville (\$9.12) Milford (\$14.55) Monroe (\$13.12)	Garland (\$11.76) Lewiston (\$21.82) Richmond (\$17.47) Salina (\$23.33) Fillmore (\$33.95)		
2,500— 9,999	Millard Co. (\$10.37) Carbon Co. (\$4.67) Juab Co. (\$5.40) Sevier Co. (\$4.57) Wayne Co. (\$6.38) Park City (\$62.93)	Morgan Co. (\$15.30) Garfield Co. (\$15.26) Tremonton (\$9.97) Santaquin (\$6.81) Smithfield (\$9.65) Grand Co. (\$27.30)	Nephi (\$12.96) Richfield (\$14.38) Kanab (\$21.78) Hyrum (\$12.37)	Parowan (\$21.85) Manti (\$17.20) Ephraim (\$17.21) Price (\$33.62) Mt. Pleasant (\$25.51) Delta (\$25.27) No. Logan (\$56.11)		
10,000— 24,999	Tooele Co. (\$4.65) Box Elder Co. (\$6.56) Summit Co. (\$15.66) Sanpete Co. (\$4.66) Wasatch Co. (\$10.79) Cache Co. (\$4.28) Iron Co. (\$9.21)	Cedar City (\$8.69) Duchesne Co. (\$11.36) Lehi (\$13.52) Kaysville (\$12.09) Tooele (\$13.51) Spanish Fork (\$15.20)	Pleasant Grove (\$11.97) San Juan Co. (\$14.11) Emery Co. (\$56.49) American Fork (\$16.21) Brigham City (\$17.62)	Springville (\$22.34) Payson (\$20.81)		
25,000— 99,999	Utah Co. (\$2.08)	Washngtn Co. (\$16.85)	Uintah Co. (\$23.56) Murray (\$31.95)	Logan (\$22.15) Orem (\$26.40)		
100,000— Up		Davis Co. (\$13.02)	Provo (\$17.69) Salt Lake City (\$44.79)	Weber Co. (\$20.94) Salt Lake Co. (\$28.16)		
No. of Libs Per Range	17	18	17	18		



Analysis of Basic Library Information

This section on Basic Library Information contains information on population, public service hours, staff, outlets, and visits. "Population" reflects the legal service jurisdiction, not the actual number of people served. "Public Service Hours Per Week" is based on a library's posted schedule and includes all outlets for a jurisdiction. "Annual Public Service Hours" reports the actual number of hours a library's outlets were open during the year, taking into consideration holidays and other days the library, or branch, was closed. "Staff" is reported as the equivalent of a full-time (40 hour) person. "Visits" is an annual figure and includes everyone who came into the library for whatever reason.

Public Service Hours Per Week

Population	Number	Public Service Hours Per Week			eek
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	20.4	24.0	40.0	2.2
2,500 – 9,999	26	38.4	37.0	68.0	5.1
10,000 – 24,999	20	75.4	62.0	320.0	21.2
25,000 – 99,999	6	85.8	60.0	215.4	56.3
100,000 – Up	5	404.5	316.4	1009.0	63.0
Statewide Totals	70	75.9	46.0	1009.0	2.2

Visits Per Hours Open

Population	Number		Visits Per H	lours Open	
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	11	8.1	8.1	22.6	6.3
2,500 – 9,999	19	17.2	13.0	50.3	7.3
10,000 – 24,999	18	19.0	23.8	66.0	4.5
25,000 – 99,999	5	81.2	74.4	176.6	5.4
100,000 – Up	4	74.6	75.7	138.0	53.7
Statewide Totals	57	38.3	13.9	176.6	4.5

Outlets Per 1000 Population

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Outlets/1,000 Population
0 – 2,499	13	0.62
2,500 – 9,999	26	0.22
10,000 – 24,999	20	0.11
25,000 – 99,999	6	0.04
100,000 – Up	5	0.02
Statewide Totals	70	0.06

Staff Per 1000 Population

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Staff/1,000 Population
0 – 2,499	13	0.49
2,500 – 9,999	26	0.48
10,000 – 24,999	20	0.37
25,000 – 99,999	6	0.41
100,000 – Up	5	0.44
Statewide Totals	70	0.43

Staff Per Library Jurisdiction

Population	Number	Staff Per Library Jurisdiction						
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low			
0 - 2,499	13	0.84	0.63	1.60	0.20			
2,500 – 9,999	26	2.58	2.02	9.00	0.49			
10,000 – 24,999	20	6.56	6.35	15.01	0.80			
25,000 – 99,999	6	22.89	16.73	46.50	2.00			
100,000 – Up	5	120.64	68.50	302.07	34.50			
Statewide Totals	70	13.57	2.67	302.07	0.20			

Basic Library Information

Beaver County	Library		Public Ser	vice Hours	FTE	No. of	No. of	
Beaver County	Jurisdiction	Population	Weekly	Annual	Staff	Outlets	Visits	
Beaver County	BEAVER COUNTY							
Beaver		1,283	6.2	271.8	0.51	2	3,466	
Minersville 817 22.0 1,096.0 0.60 1 6,999 BOX ELDER COUNTY 17,799 67.0 3,308.0 2.90 3 N/R Brigham City 17,411 600 2,988.0 9.00 1 148,673 Garhand 1,943 25.0 1,245.0 0.63 1 9,815 Tremonton 5,592 30.0 1,245.0 0.63 1 9,815 Tremonton 5,592 30.0 1,245.0 0.63 1 9,815 Tremonton 1,877 47.0 2,272.0 2.75 2 14,163 Hyrum 12,596 38.0 1,976.0 3.40 1 48,600 Lewiston 1,877 40.0 2,080.0 1.45 1 14,201 Logan 42,670 60.0 3,006.0 18.30 1 223,507 North Logan 61,63 40.0 1,492.0 4.50 1 N/R Richmond 2,051 24.0 1,172.0 1.50 1 10,791 Smithfield 7,261 27.5 1,341.5 1.60 2 29,368 CARBON COUNTY 2,025 32.0 1,346.0 1.60 1 N/R Helper 2,025 32.0 1,346.0 1.60 1 N/R Price 8,402 50.0 2,492.0 6.70 1 42,274 DAGGETT COUNTY 921 2.2 112.0 0.20 1 992 DAVIS COUNTY 11,4371 70.4 3,412.0 4.01 2 79,812 EMERY COUNTY 10,860 320.0 16,000.0 15,01 8 83,211 GARPIELD CO./PANGUITCH 4,735 59.6 2,890.6 1.90 3 29,058 GRAND COUNTY 10,867 21.2 1,008.8 0.80 2 11,304 GRAND COUNTY 10,87 68.0 3,440.0 8.00 1 73,185 GRAND COUNTY 1,4371 70.4 3,412.0 4.01 2 79,812 EMERY COUNTY 10,867 21.2 1,008.8 0.80 2 11,304 GRAND COUNTY 1,473 38.0 1,595.0 1,50 1 22,355 JUAB COUNTY 1,473 38.0 1,595.0 1,50 1 32,865 KANE COUNTY 1,473 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,866 KANE COUNTY 1,473 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,866 KANE COUNTY 1,473 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,866 MILLARD COUNTY 4,485 5.00 1,773.3 2,64 1 N/R MILLARD COUNTY Milard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2,64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 3,143.0 MILLARD COUNTY Milard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2,64 1 N/R JUAB COUNTY Milard County 6,624 28.0		2,454	40.0	2,020.0	1.23	1	12,769	
BOX ELDER COUNTY	Milford	1,451	26.0	1,338.5	1.00	1	10,819	
Box Elder County 17,799 67.0 3,308.0 2.90 3 N/R Brigham City 17,411 60.0 2,988.0 9,00 1 148,673 Garland 1,943 25.0 1,245.0 0.63 1 9,815 Tremonton 5,592 30.0 1,430.0 1.25 1 18,638 CACHE COUNTY Cache County 18,773 47.0 2,272.0 2.75 2 14,163 Hyrum 12,596 38.0 1,976.0 3.40 1 48,600 Lewiston 1,877 40.0 2,080.0 1.45 1 14,201 Logan 42,670 60.0 3,006.0 18.30 1 223,507 North Logan 6,163 40.0 1,492.0 4.50 1 N/R Richmond 2,051 24.0 1,172.0 1.50 1 10,791 Smithfield 7,261 27.5 1,341.5 1.60 1 29,24 <tr< td=""><td>Minersville</td><td>817</td><td>22.0</td><td>1,096.0</td><td>0.60</td><td>1</td><td>6,999</td></tr<>	Minersville	817	22.0	1,096.0	0.60	1	6,999	
Brigham City 17,411 60.0 2,988.0 9.00 1 1,48,673 Garland 1,943 25.0 1,245.0 0.63 1 9,815 Tremonton 5,592 30.0 1,430.0 1,25 1 18,638 CACHE COUNTY Cache County 18,773 47.0 2,272.0 2.75 2 14,163 Hyrum 12,596 38.0 1,976.0 3.40 1 48,600 Lewiston 1,877 40.0 2,080.0 1.45 1 14,600 Logan 42,670 60.0 3,006.0 1.830 1 223,507 North Logan 6,163 40.0 1,492.0 4.50 1 N/79 Smithfield 7,261 27.5 1,341.5 1.60 1 29,368 CARBON COUNTY 2 20.25 32.0 1,346.0 1.60 1 N/R CARBON COUNTY 9.995 2.8.5 1,284.5 1.52 2 <td>BOX ELDER COUNTY</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	BOX ELDER COUNTY							
Garland Tremonton 1.943 2.5.0 1.245.0 0.63 1 9.815 Tremonton 5.592 30.0 1,430.0 1.25 1 18,638 CACHE COUNTY Cache County 18,773 47.0 2,272.0 2.75 2 14,163 Hyrum 12,596 38.0 1,976.0 3.40 1 48,600 Lewiston 1.877 40.0 2,080.0 1.45 1 14,201 Logan 42,670 60.0 3,006.0 18.30 1 223,507 North Logan 6,163 40.0 1,492.0 4.50 1 10,791 Richmond 2,051 24.0 1,172.0 1.50 1 10,791 Smitfield 7,261 27.5 1,341.5 1.60 1 29,368 CARBON COUNTY 9.995 28.5 1,284.5 1.52 2 52,944 Helper 2,025 32.0 1,346.0 1.60 1 N/R	Box Elder County	17,799	67.0	3,308.0	2.90	3		
Tremonton	Brigham City		60.0	2,988.0	9.00	1		
CACHE COUNTY Cache County 18,773	Garland	1,943	25.0	1,245.0	0.63	1	9,815	
Cache County	Tremonton	5,592	30.0	1,430.0	1.25	1	18,638	
Hyrum	CACHE COUNTY							
Lewiston	Cache County	18,773	47.0	2,272.0	2.75	2	14,163	
Logan	Hyrum	12,596	38.0	1,976.0	3.40	1	48,600	
North Logan 6,163 40.0 1,492.0 4.50 1 N/R Richmond 2,051 24.0 1,172.0 1.50 1 10,791 1.001		1,877	40.0	2,080.0	1.45	1	14,201	
Richmond 2,051 24,0 1,172,0 1.50 1 10,791 Smithfield 7,261 27.5 1,341.5 1.60 1 29,368 CARBON COUNTY 2 27.5 1,341.5 1.60 1 29,368 CARBON COUNTY 2 2 2 52,944 1.60 1 N/R Price 8,402 50.0 2,492.0 6.70 1 42,724 DAGGETT COUNTY 921 2.2 112.0 0.20 1 992 DAVIS COUNTY 921 2.56.0 13,057.0 53.43 5 700,816 Kaysville 20,351 43.0 2,236.0 7.30 1 71,001 DUCHESNE COUNTY 10	Logan	42,670	60.0	3,006.0	18.30	1	223,507	
Smithfield 7,261 27.5 1,341.5 1.60 1 29,368 CARBON COUNTY 2025 32.0 1,346.0 1.60 1 N/R Helper 2,025 32.0 1,346.0 1.60 1 N/R Price 8,402 50.0 2,492.0 6.70 1 42,724 DAGGETT COUNTY 921 2.2 112.0 0.20 1 992 DAVIS COUNTY 921 2.2 13.057.0 53.43 5 700,816 Kaysville 20,351 43.0 2,236.0 7.30 1 71,001 DUCHESNE COUNTY 10,860 320		6,163	40.0	1,492.0	4.50	1	N/R	
CARBON COUNTY Carbon County Q 9,995 Q 28.5 Q 1,284.5 Q 1.52 Q 2 Q 2,025 Q 32.0 Q 1,346.0 Q 1.60 Q 1 Q 1 Q 2,025 Q 32.0 Q 1,346.0 Q 1.60 Q 1 Q 1 Q 2,027 Q 3,027 Q 3,02	e e		24.0		1.50	1	10,791	
Carbon County 9,995 28.5 1,284.5 1.52 2 52,944 Helper 2,025 32.0 1,346.0 1.60 1 N/R Price 8,402 50.0 2,492.0 6.70 1 42,724 DAGGETT COUNTY 921 2.2 112.0 0.20 1 992 DAVIS COUNTY 921 2.2 112.0 0.20 1 992 DAVIS COUNTY 218,643 256.0 13,057.0 53.43 5 700,816 Kaysville 20,351 43.0 2,236.0 7.30 1 71,001 DUCHESNE COUNTY 14,371 70.4 3,412.0 4.01 2 79,812 EMERY COUNTY 10,860 320.0 16,000.0 15.01 8 83,211 GARFIELD CO/PANGUITCH 4,735 59.6 2,890.6 1.90 3 29,058 GRAND COUNTY 10,687 21.2 1,008.8 0.80 2 11,304 Cedar	Smithfield	7,261	27.5	1,341.5	1.60	1	29,368	
Carbon County 9,995 28.5 1,284.5 1.52 2 52,944 Helper 2,025 32.0 1,346.0 1.60 1 N/R Price 8,402 50.0 2,492.0 6.70 1 42,724 DAGGETT COUNTY 921 2.2 112.0 0.20 1 992 DAVIS COUNTY 921 2.2 112.0 0.20 1 992 DAVIS COUNTY 218,643 2.56.0 13,057.0 53.43 5 700,816 Kaysville 20,351 43.0 2,236.0 7.30 1 71,001 DUCHESNE COUNTY 14,371 70.4 3,412.0 4.01 2 79,812 EMERY COUNTY 10,860 320.0 16,000.0 15.01 8 83,211 GARFIELD CO/PANGUITCH 4,735 59.6 2,890.6 1.90 3 29,058 GRAND COUNTY 8,485 68.0 3,440.0 8.00 1 73,185 IRON C	CARBON COUNTY							
Helper 2,025 32.0 1,346.0 1.60 1 N/R		9.995	28.5	1.284.5	1.52	2	52.944	
Price 8,402 50.0 2,492.0 6.70 1 42,724 DAGGETT COUNTY 921 2.2 112.0 0.20 1 992 DAVIS COUNTY 2 325.0 13,057.0 53.43 5 700,816 Kaysville 20,351 43.0 2,236.0 7.30 1 71,001 DUCHESNE COUNTY 14,371 70.4 3,412.0 4.01 2 79,812 EMERY COUNTY 10,860 320.0 16,000.0 15.01 8 83,211 GARFIELD CO,PANGUITCH 4,735 59.6 2,890.6 1.90 3 29,058 GRAND COUNTY 8,485 68.0 3,440.0 8.00 1 73,185 IRON COUNTY 10,687 21.2 1,008.8 0.80 2 11,304 Cedar City 20,527 66.0 3,290.0 6.30 1 90,000 Parowan 2,565 54.0 2,690.0 1.80 1 23,45 <td rows<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td>	<td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
DAVIS COUNTY Davis County								
Davis County Kaysville 218,643 20,351 256.0 43.0 13,057.0 2,236.0 53.43 7.30 5 1 700,816 71,001 DUCHESNE COUNTY 14,371 70.4 3,412.0 4.01 2 79,812 EMERY COUNTY 10,860 320.0 16,000.0 15.01 8 83,211 GARFIELD CO/PANGUITCH 4,735 59.6 2,890.6 1.90 3 29,058 GRAND COUNTY 8,485 68.0 3,440.0 8.00 1 73,185 IRON COUNTY Iron County 10,687 21.2 1,008.8 0.80 2 11,304 Cedar City 20,527 66.0 3,290.0 6.30 1 90,000 Parowan 2,565 54.0 2,690.0 1.80 1 22,345 JUAB COUNTY Juab County 3,505 5.1 265.0 0.53 1 N/R KANE COUNTY Kane County 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2,45<	DAGGETT COUNTY	921	2.2	112.0	0.20	1	992	
Kaysville 20,351 43.0 2,236.0 7.30 1 71,001 DUCHESNE COUNTY 14,371 70.4 3,412.0 4.01 2 79,812 EMERY COUNTY 10,860 320.0 16,000.0 15.01 8 83,211 GARFIELD CO/PANGUITCH 4,735 59.6 2,890.6 1.90 3 29,058 GRAND COUNTY 8,485 68.0 3,440.0 8.00 1 73,185 IRON COUNTY 10,687 21.2 1,008.8 0.80 2 11,304 Cedar City 20,527 66.0 3,290.0 6.30 1 90,000 Parowan 2,565 54.0 2,690.0 1.80 1 22,345 JUAB COUNTY 3,505 5.1 265.0 0.53 1 N/R Nephi 4,733 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,867 KANE COUNTY 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 <t< td=""><td>DAVIS COUNTY</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	DAVIS COUNTY							
DUCHESNE COUNTY 14,371 70.4 3,412.0 4.01 2 79,812 EMERY COUNTY 10,860 320.0 16,000.0 15.01 8 83,211 GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH 4,735 59.6 2,890.6 1.90 3 29,058 GRAND COUNTY 8,485 68.0 3,440.0 8.00 1 73,185 IRON COUNTY 10,687 21.2 1,008.8 0.80 2 11,304 Cedar City 20,527 66.0 3,290.0 6.30 1 90,000 Parowan 2,565 54.0 2,690.0 1.80 1 22,345 JUAB COUNTY Juab County 3,505 5.1 265.0 0.53 1 N/R Nephi 4,733 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,867 KANE COUNTY Kane County 4,473 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	Davis County	218,643	256.0	13,057.0	53.43	5	700,816	
EMERY COUNTY 10,860 320.0 16,000.0 15.01 8 83,211 GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH 4,735 59.6 2,890.6 1.90 3 29,058 GRAND COUNTY 8,485 68.0 3,440.0 8.00 1 73,185 IRON COUNTY Iron County 10,687 21.2 1,008.8 0.80 2 11,304 Cedar City 20,527 66.0 3,290.0 6.30 1 90,000 Parowan 2,565 54.0 2,690.0 1.80 1 22,345 JUAB COUNTY Juab County 3,505 5.1 265.0 0.53 1 N/R Nephi 4,733 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,867 KANE COUNTY Kane County 4,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	Kaysville	20,351	43.0	2,236.0	7.30	1	71,001	
GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH 4,735 59.6 2,890.6 1.90 3 29,058 GRAND COUNTY 8,485 68.0 3,440.0 8.00 1 73,185 IRON COUNTY Iron County 10,687 21.2 1,008.8 0.80 2 11,304 Cedar City 20,527 66.0 3,290.0 6.30 1 90,000 Parowan 2,565 54.0 2,690.0 1.80 1 22,345 JUAB COUNTY Juab County 3,505 5.1 265.0 0.53 1 N/R Nephi 4,733 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,867 KANE COUNTY Kane County 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	DUCHESNE COUNTY	14,371	70.4	3,412.0	4.01	2	79,812	
GRAND COUNTY IRON	EMERY COUNTY	10,860	320.0	16,000.0	15.01	8	83,211	
IRON COUNTY Iron Iron Iron Iron Iron Iron Iron Iron	GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH	4,735	59.6	2,890.6	1.90	3	29,058	
Iron County 10,687 21.2 1,008.8 0.80 2 11,304 Cedar City 20,527 66.0 3,290.0 6.30 1 90,000 Parowan 2,565 54.0 2,690.0 1.80 1 22,345 JUAB COUNTY Juab County 3,505 5.1 265.0 0.53 1 N/R Nephi 4,733 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,867 KANE COUNTY Kane County 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	GRAND COUNTY	8,485	68.0	3,440.0	8.00	1	73,185	
Cedar City 20,527 66.0 3,290.0 6.30 1 90,000 Parowan 2,565 54.0 2,690.0 1.80 1 22,345 JUAB COUNTY Juab County 3,505 5.1 265.0 0.53 1 N/R Nephi 4,733 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,867 KANE COUNTY Kane County 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	IRON COUNTY							
Parowan 2,565 54.0 2,690.0 1.80 1 22,345 JUAB COUNTY Juab County 3,505 5.1 265.0 0.53 1 N/R Nephi 4,733 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,867 KANE COUNTY Kane County 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	Iron County		21.2			2		
JUAB COUNTY Juab County 3,505 5.1 265.0 0.53 1 N/R Nephi 4,733 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,867 KANE COUNTY Kane County 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	Cedar City	20,527	66.0	3,290.0	6.30	1	90,000	
Juab County 3,505 5.1 265.0 0.53 1 N/R Nephi 4,733 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,867 KANE COUNTY Kane County 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	Parowan	2,565	54.0	2,690.0	1.80	1	22,345	
Nephi 4,733 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,867 KANE COUNTY Kane County 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	JUAB COUNTY							
Nephi 4,733 38.0 1,952.0 1.76 1 32,867 KANE COUNTY Kane County 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	Juab County	3,505	5.1	265.0	0.53	1	N/R	
Kane County 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438								
Kane County 2,482 7.4 380.5 0.61 1 8,606 Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	KANE COUNTY							
Kanab 3,564 55.0 2,736.0 2.45 1 19,863 MILLARD COUNTY Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438		2,482	7.4	380.5	0.61	1	8,606	
Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438								
Millard County 6,624 28.0 1,273.3 2.64 1 N/R Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438	MILLARD COUNTY							
Delta 3,209 34.0 1,768.0 2.30 1 23,438		6.624	28.0	1.273.3	2.64	1	N/R	
1 HIHOU	Fillmore	2,572	34.0	1,684.0	2.30	1	16,260	

^{**} Population figures are taken from the 2000 census estimates of the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Basic Library Information

Library			vice Hours	FTE	No. of	No. of
Jurisdiction	Population	Weekly	Annual	Staff	Outlets	Visits
MORGAN COUNTY	7,129	38.0	1,903.0	2.70	1	26,474
PIUTE COUNTY	1,435	5.0	249.5	0.32	1	2,951
RICH COUNTY	1,961	9.8	510.5	0.60	1	N/R
SALT LAKE COUNTY						
Murray	34,024	60.0	3,019.0	14.75	1	245,000
Salt Lake City	181,743	378.0	18,934.0	144.70	6	1,653,444
Salt Lake County	682,620	1,009.0	50,578.0	302.07	17	N/R
SAN JUAN COUNTY	14,413	98.0	4,855.0	5.50	3	72,545
SANPETE COUNTY						
Sanpete County	8,113	58.0	2,875.0	1.83	2	N/R
Ephraim	4,505	44.0	2,288.0	2.13	1	23,504
Gunnison	3,442	20.0	1,004.0	0.50	1	8,192
Manti	3,040	32.0	1,550.0	2.26	1	16,640
Mt. Pleasant	3,663	42.0	2,130.0	2.25	1	N/R
SEVIER COUNTY						
Sevier County	6,969	7.9	386.3	0.74	1	N/R
Monroe	1,845	25.0	1,300.0	0.70	1	9,332
Richfield	6,847	45.0	2,276.0	3.10	1	43,212
Salina	3,181	33.0	1,663.0	1.80	1	15,264
SUMMIT COUNTY						
Summit County	22,365	141.8	6,896.5	7.47	4	30,700
Park City	7,371	64.0	3,218.0	9.00	1	161,907
TOOELE COUNTY						
Tooele County	18,233	74.0	3,646.0	2.75	3	65,880
Tooele	22,502	48.0	2,304.0	9.00	1	152,000
UINTAH COUNTY	25,224	63.1	3,094.3	15.16	2	183,841
UTAH COUNTY						
Utah County	56,389	56.3	2,600.5	2.00	2	14,022
American Fork	21,941	72.0	3,236.0	12.03	1	96,429
Lehi	19,028	64.0	3,264.0	7.34	1	32,056
Orem	84,324	60.0	2,998.0	46.50	1	529,362
Payson	12,716	48.0	2,374.0	5.25	1	18,463
Pleasant Grove	23,468	64.0	3,173.0	9.25	1	102,700
Provo	105,166	63.0	3,153.0	34.50	1	435,000
Santaquin	4,834	36.0	1,764.0	1.08	1	21,455
Spanish Fork	20,246	52.0	2,607.0	6.40	1	N/R
Springville	20,424	58.0	2,894.0	9.85	1	118,191
WASATCH COUNTY	15,215	56.5	2,814.0	4.90	1	68,000
WASHINGTON COUNTY	90,354	215.4	10,854.0	40.60	5	N/R
WAYNE COUNTY	2,509	27.2	1,297.8	0.49	2	N/R
WEBER COUNTY	196,533	316.4	16,041.0	68.50	5	1,027,560
Statewide Totals:	2,233,169	5,309.5	264,104.9	949.80	130	7,084,359

^{**} Population figures are taken from the 2000 census estimates of the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Analysis of Library Services

This section on Library Services contains information on circulation, reference, interlibrary loan, and programs. This reflects the basic services available at most libraries. The circulation period is important when analyzing circulation patterns, as a shorter circulation period generally results in a higher circulation count. Reference questions may be taken in person, over the telephone, or via e-mail. Many libraries are seeing their reference transactions decrease as more and more patrons do their own searching on the Internet. Interlibrary loan indicates how many materials a library furnishes to, or receives from, other libraries in order to provide information for its patrons. The number of library-sponsored programs demonstrates other services available to the library's patrons.

Comparison of 1999 and 2000 Circulation

Population Category	Number of Libraries	1999 Circulation	2000 Circulation	Percent Change
0—2,499	12	203,674	228,846	12.4%
2,500—9,999	26	1,189,100	1,266,574	6.5%
10,000—24,999	20	2,551,903	2,739,173	7.3%
25,000—99,999	6	3,361,636	3,531,007	5.0%
100,000—Up	5	13,318,470	14,533,628	9.1%
Statewide Totals	69	20,624,783	22,299,228	8.1%

Cost Per Circulation Transaction

This measure divides the count of a library's circulation of materials by its operating expenditures. It is one measure of the cost of doing business. A higher cost per circulation usually reflects other services being offered by the library, especially if the number of visits is high. Patrons may be using the library for Internet access, studying, or programs, for example, instead of checking out materials. The cost of these other services cannot always be measured easily.

Population	Number	Cost Per Circulation Transaction						
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low			
0-2,499	12	\$1.77	\$1.99	\$3.18	\$1.04			
2,500—9,999	26	\$2.34	\$1.86	\$6.08	\$0.86			
10,000—24,999	20	\$1.97	\$1.90	\$5.56	\$0.46			
25,000—99,999	6	\$1.91	\$1.66	\$4.19	\$1.50			
100,000—Up	5	\$2.67	\$2.37	\$4.52	\$1.77			
Statewide Totals	69	\$2.43	\$1.93	\$6.08	\$0.46			

Circulation Load Per 1.0 FTE Staff Member

This measure indicates the relative yearly circulation workload in the state's public libraries. It shows the number of items each staff member would circulate to the public in a year if each staff member worked at the circulation desk 40 hours per week. It is influenced by such factors as the library's schedule and how many of the library's staff are directly involved in circulation activities. In general, larger libraries have more staff involved in non-circulation activities such as administration, reference, technical services, programming, and public relations.

Population	Number	Circulation Load Per 1.0 FTE						
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low			
0—2,499	12	24,476	24,293	43,921	14,137			
2,500—9,999	26	18,867	21,504	65,351	9,319			
10,000—24,999	20	20,876	22,032	59,147	7,356			
25,000—99,999	6	25,716	28,593	42,946	17,829			
100,000—Up	5	24,094	23,848	30,885	13,989			
Statewide Totals	69	32,517	23,485	65,351	7,356			

Reference Questions Per 1,000 Circulation Transactions

This measure is another assessment of workload. A high ratio indicates that the library's staff are spending a greater proportion of their time helping patrons use in-house resources for their research, rather than checking out materials. As with all of the measures, there is no good or bad ratio. Instead, this measure reflects a library's purpose. A popular reading library will have a low ratio because they have very high circulation, whereas a library that stresses research and reference services will have a higher ratio.

Population	Number	Reference Questions Per 1,000 Circulation					
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low		
0—2,499	9	34.9	20.8	157.3	0.0		
2,500—9,999	17	63.3	35.6	231.5	3.9		
10,000—24,999	14	109.0	94.0	213.8	0.0		
25,000—99,999	3	93.2	146.8	182.5	47.9		
100,000—Up	3	266.4	113.2	864.4	110.0		
Statewide Totals	46	185.9	49.1	964.4	0.0		

Library Services

Library Jurisdiction	Population	Circ. Period	Circulation	Reference	ILL Loaned	ILL Borrowed	No. of Programs
BEAVER COUNTY							
Beaver County	1,283	14	21,959	N/R	0	2	0
Beaver	2,454	14	19,546	521	0	156	47
Milford	1,451	14	22,228	1,272	18	101	50
Minersville	817	14	15,060	2,369	44	51	73
BOX ELDER COUNTY							
Box Elder County	17,799	14	111,414	N/R	0	180	1
Brigham City	17,411	14	212,740	20,385	0	280	229
Garland	1,943	14	21,619	450	0	23	100
Tremonton	5,592	21	36,837	5,419	0	110	36
CACHE COUNTY							
Cache County	18,773	14	67,618	N/R	0	20	2
Hyrum	12,596	14	201,101	24,840	0	191	34
Lewiston	1,877	14	40,879	1,304	0	37	75
Logan	42,670	21	620,754	29,743	1,385	1,745	184
North Logan	6,163	21	56,888	N/R	0	218	131
Richmond	2,051	14	21,205	N/R	0	83	42
Smithfield	7,261	14	54,150	221	0	44	126
CARBON COUNTY							
Carbon County	9,995	14	85,335	N/R	0	232	0
	2,025	14	83,333 N/R	N/R	0 1	3	0 8
Helper							
Price	8,402	14	68,411	2,437	100	276	216
DAGGETT COUNTY	921	28	4,697	0	0	15	9
DAVIS COUNTY							
Davis County	218,643	28	1,274,212	140,136	1,894	1,338	694
Kaysville	20,351	21	145,261	23,175	0	1,101	104
DUCHESNE COUNTY	14,371	14	118,657	780	0	359	122
EMERY COUNTY	10,860	14	110,420	4,560	543	553	957
GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH	Н 4,735	14	40,624	158	0	55	18
GRAND COUNTY	8,485	14	92,545	9,949	0	1,228	95
IRON COUNTY							
Iron County	10,687	14	16,623	0	0	0	0
Cedar City	20,527	14	146,697	30,000	0	332	151
Parowan	2,565	14	38,929	1,120	5	196	12
JUAB COUNTY							
Juab County	3,505	14	34,636	N/R	0	4	0
Nephi	4,733	14	50,257	11,635	0	146	28
KANE COUNTY							
Kane County	2,482	14	26,792	11	0	17	3
Kanab	3,564	14	39,047	218	Ö	115	32
MILLARD COUNTY							
Millard County	6,624	14	69,916	N/R	91	21	1
Delta	3,209	14	39,012	167	0	228	39
Fillmore	2,572	14	34,959	3,338	0	219	65
1 IIIIIOIC	4,314	1 🛨	J 1 ,333	5,550	U	417	03

Library Services

Library Jurisdiction	Population	Circ. Period	Circulation	Reference	ILL Loaned	ILL Borrowed	No. of Programs
MORGAN COUNTY	7,129	14	67,188	2,143	0	89	174
PIUTE COUNTY	1,435	14	9,563	0	0	3	1
RICH COUNTY	1,961	14	12,319	N/R	0	58	1
SALT LAKE COUNTY							
Murray	34,024	28	262,974	48,000	35	18	201
Salt Lake City	181,743	28	2,440,347	276,332	5,788	2,027	1,355
Salt Lake County	682,620	21	8,795,277	N/R	4,285	1,924	1,194
SAN JUAN COUNTY	14,413	21	96,144	3,697	0	648	39
SANPETE COUNTY							
Sanpete County	8,113	14	97,183	N/R	0	12	11
Ephraim	4,505	14	33,049	1,485	0	150	36
Gunnison	3,442	14	15,963	N/R	0	N/R	14
Manti	3,040	28	22,783	2,044	0	343	12
Mt. Pleasant	3,663	14	63,975	N/R	1	103	27
SEVIER COUNTY							
Sevier County	6,969	14	25,991	N/R	0	16	0
Monroe	1,845	21	12,979	130	0	45	8
Richfield	6,847	14	51,854	1,256	0	530	121
Salina	3,181	21	20,850	502	0	294	11
SUMMIT COUNTY							
Summit County	22,365	14	92,810	N/R	0	769	85
Park City	7,371	21	83,871	6,240	424	560	245
TOOELE COUNTY							
Tooele County	18,233	14	82,695	N/R	0	565	2
Tooele	22,502	21	148,271	31,696	0	252	42
UINTAH COUNTY	25,224	14	422,048	N/R	19	841	111
UTAH COUNTY							
Utah County	56,389	14	85,891	12,611	0	32	2
American Fork	21,941	28	194,840	9,785	117	152	356
Lehi	19,028	21	129,616	11,954	26	198	197
Orem	84,324	21	1,364,570	N/R	0	326	686
Payson	12,716	14	74,828	N/R	0	153	108
Pleasant Grove	23,468	14	226,171	5,425	20	39	532
Provo	105,166	14	1,065,544	N/R	502	130	512
Santaquin	4,834	14	20,836	2,009	0	73	1
Spanish Fork	20,246	14	196,860	N/R	3	26	204
Springville	20,424	21	300,669	50,076	165	283	179
WASATCH COUNTY	15,215	14	65,738	14,000	0	237	86
WASHINGTON COUNTY	90,354	14	774,770	N/R	163	139	506
WAYNE COUNTY	2,509	14	21,485	N/R	0	179	12
WEBER COUNTY	196,533	21	958,248	828,301	2,637	1,057	983
Statewide Totals:	2,233,169	***	22,299,228	1,621,894	18,266	21,950	11,738

Analysis of Library Resources

This section on Library Resources looks at information about the library's collection, including current subscriptions, books, audio-visual, and other materials, as well as the *PIONEER* databases. The collections in Utah's libraries continue to increase; however, the rate of increase is slowing as libraries reach their shelving capacity. Libraries are relying more and more on databases, such as those available through *PIONEER*, to fill their patrons' needs.

Comparison of Number of Items Held in 1999 and in 2000

Population Category	Number of Libraries	1999 Holdings	2000 Holdings	Percent Change
0—2,499	13	248,738	257,102	3.4%
2,500—9,999	26	686,710	697,613	1.6%
10,000—24,999	20	972,180	1,039,586	6.9%
25,000—99,999	6	745,497	773,519	3.8%
100,000—Up	5	3,704,196	3,634,371	-1.9%
Statewide Totals	70	6,357,321	6,402,191	0.7%

Comparison of Number of Subscriptions in 1999 and in 2000

Population Category	Number of Libraries	1999 Subscriptions	2000 Subscriptions	Percent Change
0—2,499	13	155	156	0.6%
2,500—9,999	26	1,067	1,098	2.9%
10,000—24,999	20	1,613	1,770	9.7%
25,000—99,999	6	1,157	1,193	3.1%
100,000—Up	5	8,253	9,145	10.8%
Statewide Totals	70	12,245	13,362	9.1%

Online Databases

PIONEER: Utah's Online Library offers many full text databases, via the Internet, to the public libraries in Utah and to their patrons. At the end of the year 2000, the following databases were available on public *PIONEER*: Deseret News Archives, EbscoHost, ProQuest, Salt Lake Tribune Archives, SIRS Knowledge Source, Wilson Biographies Plus, Ancestory.com, Poem Finder, and AP Photo Archive. Daily Utah and national newspapers and online dictionaries, directories and encyclopedias were available, as well.

Many of Utah's public libraries are also subscribing to additional databases. Some are through subscriptions similar to *PIONEER*, others are in-house databases (usually local information), and some are CD-ROM based information (such as an electronic encyclopedia).

Make-up of the Collection

Traditionally, libraries have consisted of books and magazines; then libraries branched out into other formats, with the larger libraries leading the way. Now, although the emphasis is still on print materials, Utah's libraries show a diverse mix of information formats, including the online resources described above. In the year 2000 the libraries' collections could be described in the following fashion.

		Make-up of the Collection						
Population Category	Number of Libraries	Books	Audio	Video	Electron. Format**	Other		
0 – 2,499	13	98.2%	1.0%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%		
2,500 – 9,999	26	94.5%	2.6%	1.8%	0.1%	1.0%		
10,000 – 24,999	20	94.0%	2.2%	2.1%	0.2%	1.5%		
25,000 – 99,999	6	85.2%	6.5%	5.9%	0.2%	2.2%		
100,000 – Up	5	88.2%	6.7%	3.6%	0.5%	1.0%		
Statewide Totals	70	89.9%	5.3%	3.3%	0.3%	1.2%		

^{**} Exclusive of PIONEER resources.

Library Resources

Library Jurisdiction	Population	Current Subscript.	Books	Audio- Visual	Other Mat'ls	Total Holdings
BEAVER COUNTY						
Beaver County	1,283	0	30,348	780	0	31,128
Beaver County Beaver	1,283 2,454	22	30,348 16,212	780 240	0	16,452
Milford	1,451	13	15,115	501	0	15,616
Minersville	817	17	8,441	52	0	8,493
BOX ELDER COUNTY						
Box Elder County	17,799	0	36,286	127	0	36,413
Brigham City	17,411	250	50,665	3,840	210	54,715
Garland	1,943	10	8,956	116	38	9,110
Tremonton	5,592	62	22,200	1,232	293	23,725
CACHE COUNTY						
Cache County	18,773	1	21,801	876	0	22,677
Hyrum	12,596	37	36,835	6,511	2,979	46,325
Lewiston	1,877	23	20,688	436	293	21,417
Logan	42,670	155	125,265	12,075	1,653	138,993
North Logan	6,163	54	17,652	1,634	164	19,450
Richmond	2,051	12	17,801	588	15	18,404
Smithfield	7,261	33	23,287	612	120	24,019
CARBON COUNTY	A 22 -		A4 ***		-	A · ·
Carbon County	9,995	0	24,319	0	0	24,319
Helper	2,025	45	11,961	128	0	12,089
Price	8,402	81	46,081	1,992	18	48,091
DAGGETT COUNTY	921	0	22,893	85	0	22,978
DAVIS COUNTY						
Davis County	218,643	643	402,957	16,515	1,388	420,860
Kaysville	20,351	120	45,121	1,746	128	46,995
DUCHESNE COUNTY	14,371	72	58,395	1,502	15	59,912
EMERY COUNTY	10,860	143	137,075	2,650	156	139,881
GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH	H 4,735	9	57,590	1,101	148	58,839
GRAND COUNTY	8,485	95	33,127	1,972	82	35,181
IRON COUNTY						
Iron County	10,687	2	18,408	124	0	18,532
Cedar City	20,527	98	45,845	1,507	399	47,751
Parowan	2,565	55	33,245	554	6	33,805
JUAB COUNTY						
Juab County	3,505	0	21,195	523	0	21,718
Nephi	4,733	20	21,723	1,242	933	23,898
KANE COUNTY		_	a			
Kane County	2,482	1	34,870	145	1	35,016
Kanab	3,564	82	18,451	1,030	423	19,904
MILLARD COUNTY	(())	•	20.240	500	^	21 120
Millard County	6,624	0	30,348	780	0	31,128
Delta	3,209	37	23,710	1,119	604	25,433
Fillmore	2,572	19	22,474	885	35	23,394

Library Resources

Library Jurisdiction	Population	Current Subscript.	Books	Audio- Visual	Other Mat'ls	Total Holdings
	· F · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
MORGAN COUNTY	7,129	74	30,728	2,198	1,418	34,344
PIUTE COUNTY	1,435	1	34,870	145	1	35,016
RICH COUNTY	1,961	1	20,809	319	29	21,157
SALT LAKE COUNTY						
Murray	34,024	275	64,836	8,570	0	73,406
Salt Lake City	181,743	1,871	652,094	104,864	16,717	773,675
Salt Lake County	682,620	5,409	1,625,112	213,444	23,424	1,861,980
SAN JUAN COUNTY	14,413	94	56,130	1,908	189	58,227
SANPETE COUNTY						
Sanpete County	8,113	0	30,000	78	0	30,078
Ephraim	4,505	72	20,579	2,168	0	22,747
Gunnison	3,442	21	11,282	873	0	12,155
Manti	3,040	55	16,952	1,317	400	18,669
Mt. Pleasant	3,663	55	23,989	1,885	49	25,923
SEVIER COUNTY						
Sevier County	6,969	0	21,195	523	0	21,718
Monroe	1,845	11	9,628	575	23	10,226
Richfield	6,847	75	24,500	1,486	252	26,238
Salina	3,181	29	10,376	661	17	11,054
SUMMIT COUNTY						
Summit County	22,365	111	56,594	2,725	29	59,348
Park City	7,371	161	40,642	3,760	2,206	46,608
•	-		•		•	•
TOOELE COUNTY	10.222	2	41.264	(0	41.270
Tooele County	18,233	2	41,264	6	0	41,270
Tooele	22,502	60	41,624	1,602	941	44,167
UINTAH COUNTY	25,224	132	84,505	16,684	13,191	114,380
UTAH COUNTY						
Utah County	56,389	1	37,487	567	117	38,171
American Fork	21,941	282	74,366	2,584	6,320	83,270
Lehi	19,028	103	43,850	1,929	756	46,535
Orem	84,324	288	189,522	45,431	3,117	238,070
Payson	12,716	73	30,484	808	22	31,314
Pleasant Grove	23,468	45	42,885	2,617	4,633	50,135
Provo	105,166	279	168,759	15,590	9,183	193,532
Santaquin	4,834	9	12,644	463	350	13,457
Spanish Fork	20,246	60	42,636	3,154	43	45,833
Springville	20,424	142	64,513	6,979	248	71,740
WASATCH COUNTY	15,215	75	32,452	1,865	229	34,546
WASHINGTON COUNTY	90,354	342	157,469	12,661	369	170,499
WAYNE COUNTY	2,509	0	21,195	523	0	21,718
WEBER COUNTY	196,533	943	358,246	22,824	3,254	384,324
Statewide Totals:	2,233,169	13,362	5,755,557	549,006	97,628	6,402,191

Analysis of Online Access

This section on Online Access describes electronic library services. It provides information on the number of public access Internet workstations available, the number of patrons using the workstations, whether a library's online catalog is available from a remote location, and what kind of remote access can be used.

Electronic services have had a tremendous impact on public libraries. Library staff have learned new skills and have trained the public to use the new tools available. In the process, librarians have noticed a change in library usage patterns. In many cases, a new clientele has come in to use the Internet and stayed to use other resources. Sometimes use of non-fiction materials, especially reference materials, and periodicals has dropped as patrons use the online databases and web sites found on the Internet and on *PIONEER*.

Public Access Internet Stations

Population	Number	Public Access Internet Stations			
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low
0 - 2,499	13	2	2	7	0
2,500 – 9,999	26	5	5	13	0
10,000 – 24,999	20	6	6	24	1
25,000 – 99,999	6	13	16	24	0
100,000 – Up	5	58	60	135	10
Statewide Totals	70	9	5	135	0

Public Access Internet Stations Per 1,000 Population

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Internet Stations/ 1,000 Population
0 – 2,499	13	1.29
2,500 – 9,999	26	0.87
10,000 – 24,999	20	0.34
25,000 – 99,999	6	0.24
100,000 – Up	5	0.21
Statewide Totals	70	0.29

User Sessions of Library Electronic Resources

This table counts the number of times during the year people used a public access Internet station to access information, whether that was the online catalog, in-house CD-ROM databases, *PIONEER*, or the Internet.

Population	Number	User Sessions of Electronic Resources			
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	1.088	624	4,940	0
2,500 – 9,999	21	6,195	3,952	26,572	0
10,000 – 24,999	19	7,680	5,460	20,800	208
25,000 – 99,999	5	15,340	11,128	36,192	0
100,000 – Up	4	660,244	341,198	1,919,996	38,584
Statewide Totals	62	48,513	4,238	1,919,996	0

Online Access

Library Jurisdiction	Population	No. of Internet Stations	No. of User Sessions	Remote Access to Online Catalog
BEAVER COUNTY				
Beaver County	1,283	0	0	None
Beaver	2,454	2	2,184	None
Milford	1,451	2	1,300	None
Minersville	817	2	1,300	None
BOX ELDER COUNTY				
Box Elder County	17,799	1	208	None
Brigham City	17,411	3	9,100	Internet
Garland	1,943	2	1,560	None
Tremonton	5,592	2	N/R	None
CACHE COUNTY				
Cache County	18,773	4	1,040	None
Hyrum	12,596	3	2,808	Internet
Lewiston	1,877	7	4,940	Internet
Logan	42,670	12	N/R	Internet
North Logan	6,163	12	5,980	None
Richmond	2,051	5	624	None
Smithfield	7,261	5	2,080	None
Simumeia	7,201	3	2,000	None
CARBON COUNTY				
Carbon County	9,995	1	884	None
Helper	2,025	2	520	None
Price	8,402	8	6,916	Internet
DAGGETT COUNTY	921	0	0	None
DAVIS COUNTY				
Davis County	218,643	20	509,600	Dial-in
Kaysville	20,351	7	4,680	None
DUCHESNE COUNTY	14,371	6	19,500	None
EMERY COUNTY	10,860	24	7,384	None
GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH	Н 4,735	4	4,160	None
	,			
GRAND COUNTY	8,485	11	26,572	Internet
IRON COUNTY				
Iron County	10,687	2	520	None
Cedar City	20,527	8	20,800	Internet
Parowan	2,565	2	7,800	None
JUAB COUNTY				
Juab County	3,505	0	0	None
Nephi	4,733	6	6,604	None
KANE COUNTY				
Kane County	2,482	0	0	None
Kanab	3,564	7	14,612	None
MILLARD COUNTY				
Millard County	6,624	0	0	None
Delta	3,209	7	3,952	None
Fillmore	2,572	7	N/R	None
1 minore	2,372	,	14/14	TONE

Online Access

Library Jurisdiction	Population	No. of Internet Stations	No. of User Sessions	Remote Access to Online Catalog
MORGAN COUNTY	7,129	4	3,640	None
PIUTE COUNTY	1,435	0	0	None
RICH COUNTY	1,961	2	1,092	None
SALT LAKE COUNTY Murray Salt Lake City Salt Lake County	34,024 181,743 682,620	3 60 135	11,128 N/R 1,919,996	Internet & Dial-in Internet & Dial-in Internet & Dial-in
SAN JUAN COUNTY	14,413	8	17,056	None
SANPETE COUNTY Sanpete County Ephraim Gunnison Manti Mt. Pleasant	8,113 4,505 3,442 3,040 3,663	1 9 5 6 6	N/R 1,872 N/R 3,640 8,216	None None None None Internet
SEVIER COUNTY Sevier County Monroe Richfield Salina	6,969 1,845 6,847 3,181	0 5 7 2	0 624 4,888 2,964	None None None None
SUMMIT COUNTY Summit County Park City	22,365 7,371	8 4	N/R 24,024	Internet None
TOOELE COUNTY Tooele County Tooele	18,233 22,502	1 8	800 6,500	None None
UINTAH COUNTY	25,224	21	10,660	Internet
UTAH COUNTY Utah County American Fork Lehi Orem Payson Pleasant Grove	56,389 21,941 19,028 84,324 12,716 23,468	0 11 3 19 3 3	0 13,000 4,316 36,192 5,460 5,304	None None Internet Internet & Dial-in None None
Provo Santaquin Spanish Fork Springville	105,166 4,834 20,246 20,424	10 2 6 6	38,584 1,300 14,664 4,160	Internet None None None
WASATCH COUNTY	15,215	6	8,580	Dial-in
WASHINGTON COUNTY	90,354	24	18,720	Internet
WAYNE COUNTY	2,509	3	N/R	None
WEBER COUNTY	196,533	64	172,796	Internet & Dial-in
Statewide Totals:	2,233,169	639	3,007,836	***

Analysis of Library Finances

Expenditures on the following pages (excluding Maintenance of Effort data) represent operating expenditures (and capital outlay, where designated) from all sources of income. Those sources of income are broken out on pages 32 and 34, and include:

Local Government: funds derived from taxes and other income of the local entity (city or county) governing the library.

Local Government Contracts: monies received from cities or counties outside the library's jurisdiction. They compensate the library for providing library services to the residents of those other cities/counties, or are a city's contribution to a county library.

Other Sources: monies received from non-governmental sources. These may include fines and fees from patrons, grants from private foundations, and other donations and gifts. They do not include non-monetary gifts or donations, such as books, furnishings, or electronic equipment.

State/Federal Government: monies received from the state or federal government. These may be grants or payments for services rendered, or a combination of the two.

Expenditure Categories as a Percentage of Operating Budget

According to the Index of American Public Library Circulation, in 1999 the nation's public libraries spent 65% of their operating budgets on salaries, 16% on library materials and 19% on operating expenses.** Fiscal Year 2000 figures for Utah are provided below.

Population	Number	Expenditure Categories Percentage				
Category	of Libraries	Personnel	Collections	Operations		
0—2,499	13	61%	19%	20%		
2,500—9,999	26	62%	17%	21%		
10,000—24,999	20	68%	16%	16%		
25,000—99,999	6	72%	13%	15%		
100,000—Up	5	62%	21%	17%		
Statewide Totals	70	64%	19%	17%		

^{**} American Libraries, October, 2000, page 64.

Local Maintenance of Effort

The State Library Division annually offers Public Library Development Grants to libraries meeting the standards defined by *The Upgrade Process* (www.state.lib.ut.us/Programs/librarystandards.html). This grant program was established with the understanding that local jurisdictions could not use grant funds to replace local monies.

The maintenance-of-effort provision for the Public Library Development Grants, adopted by the Utah State Library Board in May, 1991, reads, in part, "The local government must expend from local government sources an aggregate amount for library service (exclusive of capital outlay) of not less than 90 percent of that actually expended in the second preceding fiscal year. Failure to do so will place the jurisdiction's development grants in jeopardy under the maintenance-of-effort provisions revised and established by the State Library Board."

Operating expenditures charged to local government revenues (not including local government contracts or other, non-governmental, sources) were used to give a indication of maintenance of effort in the composite table on this page and in the table shown on pages 38-39.

The percentage change covers the two year period required by the State Library Board. The figures may show a steady increase or decline, or they may represent a one year anomaly. At the time of the awarding of the Public Library Development Grants, any library jurisdictions showing a decrease in maintenance of effort of more than 10 percent will not receive a grant. However, there is an appeals process, whereby the income and expenditures of the two comparisons years can be audited and changed or other extenuating circumstances explained. The State Library Board makes the final ruling.

Percent Change in Local Maintenance of Effort, 1998-2000

Population	Number	Change in Maintenance of Effort						
Category	of Libraries	Average	Median	High	Low			
0 – 2,499	13	23.8%	16.7%	367.8%	2.7%			
2.500 – 9,999	26	34.3%	20.2%	157.2%	-7.1%			
10,000 – 24,999	20	21.9%	23.6%	62.9%	-0.7%			
25,000 – 99,999	6	17.0%	14.6%	29.2%	11.2%			
100,000 – Up	5	11.4%	13.3%	24.0%	9.6%			
Statewide Totals	70	14.2%	18.3%	367.8%	-7.1%			

Library Finances - Income

	Revenue					
Library Jurisdiction	Population	Local Government	Locat Govt Contracts	Other Sources	State/Fed Government	Total Income
BEAVER COUNTY						
Beaver County	1,283	\$15,033	\$0	\$0	\$7,818	\$22,851
Beaver	2,454	\$30,672	\$15,000	\$788	\$4,041	\$50,501
Milford	1,451	\$21,108	\$15,000	\$0	\$3,982	\$40,090
Minersville	817	\$8,448	\$18,000	\$0	\$8,496	\$34,944
BOX ELDER COUNTY						
Box Elder County	17,799	\$116,737	\$3,162	\$0	\$10,665	\$130,564
Brigham City	17,411	\$332,424	\$0	\$4,937	\$46,378	\$383,739
Garland	1,943	\$22,848	\$0	\$0	\$9,445	\$32,293
Tremonton	5,592	\$55,771	\$0	\$0	\$4,155	\$59,926
CACHE COUNTY						
Cache County	18,773	\$109,379	\$14,142	\$297	\$14,761	\$138,579
Hyrum	12,596	\$78,155	\$12,100	\$6,216	\$4,715	\$101,186
Lewiston	1,877	\$40,952	\$0	\$1,229	\$4,029	\$46,210
Logan	42,670	\$945,353	\$0	\$22,552	\$19,746	\$987,651
North Logan	6,163	\$345,792	\$0	\$502,953	\$29,308	\$878,053
Richmond	2,051	\$37,330	\$0	\$0	\$15,945	\$53,275
Smithfield	7,261	\$82,468	\$0	\$1,459	\$6,636	\$90,563
CARBON COUNTY						
Carbon County	9,995	\$46,662	\$2,627	\$0	\$23,973	\$73,262
Helper	2,025	\$22,517	\$0	\$0	\$2,709	\$25,226
Price	8,402	\$282,491	\$5,625	\$375	\$6,426	\$294,917
DAGGETT COUNTY	921	\$7,652	\$0	\$0	\$3,975	\$11,627
DAVIS COUNTY						
Davis County	218,643	\$3,183,871	\$0	\$7,084	\$65,274	\$3,256,229
Kaysville	20,351	\$246,067	\$0	\$271	\$8,478	\$254,816
DUCHESNE COUNTY	14,371	\$163,238	\$0	\$0	\$29,826	\$193,064
EMERY COUNTY	10,860	\$613,796	\$0	\$0	\$23,986	\$637,782
GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH	4,735	\$72,869	\$0	\$390	\$16,310	\$89,569
GRAND COUNTY	8,485	\$240,097	\$0	\$30,000	\$6,413	\$276,510
IRON COUNTY						
Iron County	10,687	\$28,557	\$0	\$0	\$23,761	\$52,318
Cedar City	20,527	\$184,981	\$55,000	\$21,236	\$26,737	\$287,954
Parowan	2,565	\$56,036	\$15,000	\$0	\$4,056	\$75,092
JUAB COUNTY						
Juab County	3,505	\$18,928	\$0	\$0	\$13,110	\$32,038
Nephi	4,733	\$61,352	\$0	\$0	\$4,303	\$65,655
KANE COUNTY				*=		**
Kane County	2,482	\$23,552	\$0	\$500	\$12,104	\$36,156
Kanab	3,564	\$79,568	\$0	\$0	\$10,085	\$89,653
MILLARD COUNTY	((2)	ф а 1 0 3 3	th O	0.0	ф2.5.22.5	#107.202
Millard County	6,624	\$71,977	\$0	\$0	\$35,325	\$107,302
Delta	3,209	\$81,093	\$0	\$5,607	\$8,080	\$94,780
Fillmore	2,572	\$76,487	\$1,577	\$818	\$12,107	\$90,989

Library Finances – Expenditures

	Expenditures					
Library	Per-	Collec-	Opera-		Capital	
Jurisdiction	sonnel	tions	tions	Subtotal	Outlay	Total
BEAVER COUNTY						
Beaver County	\$16,795	\$4,090	\$1,966	\$22,851	\$0	\$22,851
Beaver	\$31,563	\$6,079	\$10,667	\$48,309	\$2,192	\$50,501
Milford	\$27,984	\$3,738	\$8,368	\$40,090	\$0	\$40,090
Minersville	\$14,410	\$8,868	\$10,666	\$33,944	\$1,000	\$34,944
BOX ELDER COUNTY						
Box Elder County	\$93,247	\$19,616	\$17,701	\$130,564	\$0	\$130,564
Brigham City	\$241,602	\$65,645	\$50,909	\$358,156	\$25,583	\$383,739
Garland	\$15,950	\$2,583	\$13,760	\$32,293	\$0	\$32,293
Tremonton	\$29,707	\$14,860	\$15,359	\$59,926	\$0	\$59,926
CACHE COUNTY						
Cache County	\$98,127	\$17,633	\$18,544	\$134,304	\$4,275	\$138,579
Hyrum	\$64,478	\$20,064	\$8,333	\$92,875	\$8,311	\$101,186
Lewiston	\$30,101	\$8,913	\$7,196	\$46,210	\$0	\$46,210
Logan	\$629,705	\$161,076	\$196,870	\$987,651	\$0	\$987,651
North Logan	\$108,734	\$62,953	\$174,105	\$345,792	\$532,261	\$878,053
Richmond	\$24,085	\$11,930	\$7,256	\$43,271	\$10,004	\$53,275
Smithfield	\$39,211	\$25,091	\$13,896	\$78,198	\$12,365	\$90,563
CARBON COUNTY		***	40.510		• •	
Carbon County	\$52,848	\$11,796	\$8,618	\$73,262	\$0	\$73,262
Helper	\$14,617	\$5,000	\$5,609	\$25,226	\$0	\$25,226
Price	\$186,516	\$69,846	\$38,555	\$294,917	\$0	\$294,917
DAGGETT COUNTY	\$8,383	\$1,151	\$2,093	\$11,627	\$0	\$11,627
DAVIS COUNTY						
Davis County	\$1,861,965	\$578,773	\$473,684	\$2,914,422	\$341,807	\$3,256,229
Kaysville	\$170,607	\$59,707	\$24,502	\$254,816	\$0	\$254,816
DUCHESNE COUNTY	\$112,900	\$20,842	\$50,836	\$184,578	\$8,486	\$193,064
EMERY COUNTY	\$433,438	\$60,604	\$119,388	\$613,430	\$24,352	\$637,782
GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH	\$58,959	\$9,027	\$20,984	\$88,970	\$599	\$89,569
GRAND COUNTY	\$154,913	\$34,570	\$48,606	\$238,089	\$38,421	\$276,510
IRON COUNTY						
Iron County	\$30,704	\$5,850	\$7,301	\$43,855	\$8,463	\$52,318
Cedar City	\$194,698	\$38,392	\$48,291	\$281,381	\$6,573	\$287,954
Parowan	\$52,726	\$12,915	\$9,451	\$75,092	\$0	\$75,092
JUAB COUNTY						
Juab County	\$22,685	\$5,970	\$3,383	\$32,038	\$0	\$32,038
Nephi	\$46,911	\$12,335	\$6,409	\$65,655	\$0	\$65,655
KANE COUNTY						
Kane County	\$25,739	\$4,550	\$5,867	\$36,156	\$0	\$36,156
Kanab	\$59,603	\$9,119	\$18,975	\$87,697	\$1,956	\$89,653
MILLARD COUNTY						
Millard County	\$80,365	\$18,194	\$8,743	\$107,302	\$0	\$107,302
Delta	\$59,844	\$15,524	\$11,332	\$86,700	\$8,080	\$94,780
Fillmore	\$52,692	\$16,217	\$22,080	\$90,989	\$0	\$90,989

Library Finances - Income

				Doverno		
Library	Donulation	Local	Local Govt	Revenue Other	State/Fed	Total
Library Jurisdiction	Population	Local Government	Contracts	Sources	State/Fea Government	I otai Income
Jurisuicuon		Government	Contracts	Sources	Government	Income
MORGAN COUNTY	7,129	\$109,078	\$0	\$0	\$6,574	\$115,652
PIUTE COUNTY	1,435	\$10,554	\$0	\$200	\$7,784	\$18,538
RICH COUNTY	1,961	\$25,195	\$0	\$0	\$13,987	\$39,182
SALT LAKE COUNTY						
Murray	34,024	\$1,098,064	\$0	\$0	\$15,018	\$1,113,082
Salt Lake City	181,743	\$11,433,498	\$0	\$760,479	\$64,851	\$12,258,828
Salt Lake County	682,620	\$19,309,877	\$0	\$1,500,325	\$144,429	\$20,954,631
SAN JUAN COUNTY	14,413	\$203,399	\$0	\$0	\$51,643	\$255,042
SANPETE COUNTY						
Sanpete County	8,113	\$47,155	\$0	\$0	\$39,210	\$86,365
Ephraim	4,505	\$78,829	\$0	\$0	\$4,438	\$83,267
Gunnison	3,442	\$15,198	\$1,269	\$3,080	\$4,113	\$23,660
Manti	3,040	\$56,600	\$0	\$5,941	\$6,777	\$69,318
Mt. Pleasant	3,663	\$69,048	\$6,000	\$3,520	\$11,719	\$90,287
SEVIER COUNTY						
Sevier County	6,969	\$35,411	\$0	\$0	\$9,441	\$44,852
Monroe	1,845	\$24,204	\$0	\$0	\$8,795	\$32,999
Richfield	6,847	\$98,493	\$0	\$0	\$8,810	\$107,303
Salina	3,181	\$55,818	\$0	\$2,634	\$4,001	\$62,453
SUMMIT COUNTY						
Summit County	22,365	\$463,493	\$0	\$475	\$75,395	\$539,363
Park City	7,371	\$463,874	\$0	\$18,328	\$18,992	\$501,194
TOOELE COUNTY						
Tooele County	18,233	\$87,396	\$35,012	\$0	\$36,790	\$159,198
Tooele	22,502	\$304,027	\$0	\$5,000	\$7,884	\$316,911
UINTAH COUNTY	25,224	\$662,575	\$0	\$28,097	\$12,435	\$703,107
UTAH COUNTY						
Utah County	56,389	\$117,034	\$0	\$0	\$25,194	\$142,228
American Fork	21,941	\$2,254,971	\$0	\$0	\$7,913	\$2,262,884
Lehi	19,028	\$268,586	\$0	\$11,728	\$7,297	\$287,611
Orem	84,324	\$2,245,958	\$0	\$29,891	\$23,286	\$2,299,135
Payson	12,716	\$274,618	\$0	\$0	\$7,448	\$282,066
Pleasant Grove	23,468	\$280,988	\$0	\$0	\$14,753	\$295,741
Provo	105,166	\$1,924,000	\$0	\$0	\$21,827	\$1,945,827
Santaquin	4,834	\$32,940	\$0	\$0	\$4,305	\$37,245
Spanish Fork	20,246	\$314,174	\$0	\$26,585	\$7,076	\$347,835
Springville	20,424	\$479,493	\$0	\$2,484	\$21,107	\$503,084
WASATCH COUNTY	15,215	\$164,109	\$0	\$26,127	\$13,135	\$203,371
WASHINGTON COUNTY	90,354	\$1,522,722	\$11,000	\$0	\$80,714	\$1,614,436
WAYNE COUNTY	2,509	\$16,000	\$0	\$0	\$13,902	\$29,902
WEBER COUNTY	196,533	\$4,116,259	\$23,500	\$136,075	\$65,900	\$4,341,734
Statewide Totals:	2,233,169	\$56,467,899	\$234,014	\$3,167,681	\$1,394,101	\$61,263,695

Library Finances – Expenditures

	Expenditures					
Library	Per-	Collec-	Opera-		Capital	
Jurisdiction	sonnel	tions	tions	Subtotal	Outlay	Total
MORGAN COUNTY	\$71,988	\$18,088	\$25,576	\$115,652	\$0	\$115,652
PIUTE COUNTY	\$13,237	\$2,340	\$2,961	\$18,538	\$0	\$18,538
RICH COUNTY	\$28,442	\$4,570	\$6,170	\$39,182	\$0	\$39,182
SALT LAKE COUNTY						
Murray	\$696,321	\$119,257	\$286,443	\$1,102,021	\$11,061	\$1,113,082
Salt Lake City	\$6,123,155	\$1,430,667	\$1,221,853	\$8,775,675	\$3,483,153	\$12,258,828
Salt Lake County	\$12,372,916	\$5,172,436	\$3,321,660	\$20,867,012	\$87,619	\$20,954,631
SAN JUAN COUNTY	\$176,259	\$37,507	\$41,276	\$255,042	\$0	\$255,042
SANPETE COUNTY						
Sanpete County	\$69,351	\$8,292	\$8,636	\$86,279	\$86	\$86,365
Ephraim	\$42,742	\$16,492	\$18,287	\$77,521	\$5,746	\$83,267
Gunnison	\$6,927	\$9,025	\$5,707	\$21,659	\$2,001	\$23,660
Manti	\$37,971	\$17,863	\$9,183	\$65,017	\$4,301	\$69,318
Mt. Pleasant	\$43,144	\$20,682	\$26,461	\$90,287	\$0	\$90,287
SEVIER COUNTY						
Sevier County	\$31,757	\$8,357	\$4,738	\$44,852	\$0	\$44,852
Monroe	\$12,636	\$16,767	\$3,596	\$32,999	\$0	\$32,999
Richfield	\$63,648	\$23,439	\$20,216	\$107,303	\$0	\$107,303
Salina	\$44,678	\$12,587	\$5,188	\$62,453	\$0	\$62,453
SUMMIT COUNTY	****		***	****	****	
Summit County	\$223,761	\$71,340	\$59,360	\$354,461	\$184,902	\$539,363
Park City	\$367,234	\$56,800	\$77,160	\$501,194	\$0	\$501,194
TOOELE COUNTY		440 = 4=	***			****
Tooele County	\$113,231	\$18,767	\$23,474	\$155,472	\$3,726	\$159,198
Tooele	\$210,772	\$45,561	\$60,578	\$316,911	\$0	\$316,911
UINTAH COUNTY	\$410,727	\$115,082	\$107,470	\$633,279	\$69,828	\$703,107
UTAH COUNTY						
Utah County	\$103,155	\$21,167	\$17,906	\$142,228	\$0	\$142,228
American Fork	\$278,275	\$27,849	\$57,479	\$363,603	\$1,899,281	\$2,262,884
Lehi	\$173,424	\$66,227	\$29,245	\$268,896	\$18,715	\$287,611
Orem	\$1,885,646	\$279,687	\$90,489	\$2,255,822	\$43,313	\$2,299,135
Payson	\$231,303	\$25,632	\$15,169	\$272,104	\$9,962	\$282,066
Pleasant Grove	\$199,786	\$61,642	\$34,313	\$295,741	\$0	\$295,741
Provo	\$1,224,000	\$350,032	\$307,795	\$1,881,827	\$64,000	\$1,945,827
Santaquin	\$26,184	\$4,210	\$6,851	\$37,245	\$0	\$37,245
Spanish Fork	\$184,995	\$65,104	\$91,291	\$341,390	\$6,445	\$347,835
Springville	\$316,490	\$113,344	\$50,068	\$479,902	\$23,182	\$503,084
WASATCH COUNTY	\$122,713	\$32,590	\$37,936	\$193,239	\$10,132	\$203,371
WASHINGTON COUNTY	\$1,124,429	\$158,406	\$331,401	\$1,614,236	\$200	\$1,614,436
WAYNE COUNTY	\$21,172	\$5,572	\$3,158	\$29,902	\$0	\$29,902
WEBER COUNTY	\$2,489,467	\$521,626	\$1,318,344	\$4,329,437	\$12,297	\$4,341,734
Statewide Totals:	\$34,688,748	\$10,382,528	\$9,217,741	\$54,289,017	\$6,974,678	\$61,263,695

Comparison of 1999 and 2000 Operating Expenditures

Library Jurisdiction	1999 Operating Expenditures	2000 Operating Expenditures	Percent Change
BEAVER COUNTY			
Beaver County	\$21,655	\$22,851	5.5%
Beaver	\$44,998	\$48,309	7.4%
Milford	\$26,182	\$40,090	53.1%
Minersville	\$26,256	\$33,944	29.3%
BOX ELDER COUNTY			
Box Elder County	\$152,652	\$130,564	-14.5%
Brigham City	\$346,154	\$358,156	3.5%
Garland	\$27,868	\$32,293	15.9%
Tremonton	\$58,039	\$59,926	3.3%
CACHE COUNTY			
Cache County	\$149,848	\$134,304	-10.4%
Hyrum	\$99,125	\$92,875	-6.3%
Lewiston	\$39,688	\$46,210	16.4%
Logan	\$922,843	\$987,651	7.0%
North Logan	\$156,114	\$345,792	121.5%
Richmond	\$37,633	\$43,271	15.0%
Smithfield	\$80,483	\$78,198	-2.8%
CARBON COUNTY			
Carbon County	\$67,906	\$73,262	7.9%
Helper	\$20,430	\$25,226	23.5%
Price	\$270,068	\$294,917	9.2%
DAGGETT COUNTY	\$11,083	\$11,627	4.9%
DAVIS COUNTY			
Davis County	\$2,721,796	\$2,914,422	7.1%
Kaysville	\$247,388	\$254,816	3.0%
DUCHESNE COUNTY	\$160,481	\$184,578	15.0%
EMERY COUNTY	\$609,992	\$613,430	0.6%
GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH	\$95,842	\$88,970	-7.2%
GRAND COUNTY	\$186,881	\$238,089	27.4%
IRON COUNTY			
Iron County	\$45,918	\$43,855	-4.5%
Cedar City	\$227,647	\$281,381	23.6%
Parowan	\$72,681	\$75,092	3.3%
JUAB COUNTY			
Juab County	\$29,302	\$32,038	9.3%
Nephi	\$63,080	\$65,655	4.1%
KANE COUNTY			
Kane County	\$35,032	\$36,156	3.2%
Kanab	\$81,548	\$87,697	7.5%
MILLARD COUNTY			
Millard County	\$99,554	\$107,302	7.8%
Delta	\$82,530	\$86,700	5.1%
Fillmore	\$54,005	\$90,989	68.5%

Comparison of 1999 and 2000 Operating Expenditures

Library Jurisdiction	1999 Operating Expenditures	2000 Operating Expenditures	Percent Change
MORGAN COUNTY	\$102,729	\$115,652	12.6%
PIUTE COUNTY	\$18,017	\$18,538	2.9%
RICH COUNTY	\$36,445	\$39,182	7.5%
SALT LAKE COUNTY			
Murray	\$1,038,702	\$1,102,021	6.1%
Salt Lake City	\$8,360,945	\$8,775,675	5.0%
Salt Lake County	\$19,506,278	\$20,867,012	7.0%
SAN JUAN COUNTY	\$235,221	\$255,042	8.4%
SANPETE COUNTY			
Sanpete County	\$84,453	\$86,279	2.2%
Ephraim	\$85,902	\$77,521	-9.8%
Gunnison	\$26,985	\$21,659	-19.7%
Manti	\$57,598	\$65,017	12.9%
Mt. Pleasant	\$89,205	\$90,287	1.2%
SEVIER COUNTY			
Sevier County	\$41,022	\$44,852	9.3%
Monroe	\$20,518	\$32,999	60.8%
Richfield	\$96,499	\$107,303	11.2%
Salina	\$38,361	\$62,453	62.8%
Saillia	\$30,301	\$02,433	02.870
SUMMIT COUNTY	Ф220 224	0254461	52.00/
Summit County	\$230,334	\$354,461	53.9%
Park City	\$490,051	\$501,194	2.3%
TOOELE COUNTY			
Tooele County	\$138,292	\$155,472	12.4%
Tooele	\$265,425	\$316,911	19.4%
UINTAH COUNTY	\$598,791	\$633,279	5.8%
UTAH COUNTY			
Utah County	\$149,720	\$142,228	-5.0%
American Fork	\$342,814	\$363,603	6.1%
Lehi	\$242,158	\$268,896	11.0%
Orem	\$2,143,086	\$2,255,822	5.3%
Payson	\$185,555	\$272,104	46.6%
Pleasant Grove	\$288,293	\$295,741	2.6%
Provo	\$1,832,011	\$1,881,827	2.7%
Santaquin	\$27,116	\$37,245	37.4%
Spanish Fork	\$279,590	\$341,390	22.1%
Springville	\$462,404	\$479,902	3.8%
WASATCH COUNTY	\$159,257	\$193,239	21.3%
WASHINGTON COUNTY	\$1,478,417	\$1,614,236	9.2%
WAYNE COUNTY	\$36,020	\$29,902	-17.0%
WEBER COUNTY	\$4,135,887	\$4,329,437	4.7%
Statewide Totals:	\$50,696,803	\$54,289,017	7.1%

Jurisdictional Maintenance of Effort Comparison

			1000	4000			
Library Jurisdiction	Certified 1998	l Tax Rate 2000	1998 Maintenance Of Effort	1992 Maintenance Of Effort	2000 Maintenance Of Effort	Percent Change 98-00	
			<u> </u>				
BEAVER COUNTY	0.000155	0.000153	Ø50 456	#50.065	0.00.000	7.00/	
Beaver County	0.000166	0.000152	\$58,456	\$59,065	\$63,033	7.8%	
Beaver	G/F	G/F	\$24,414	\$25,216	\$28,480	16.7%	
Milford	G/F	G/F	\$4,512	\$7,200	\$21,108	367.8%	
Minersville	G/F	G/F	\$4,421	\$5,534	\$7,448	68.5%	
BOX ELDER COUNTY							
Box Elder County	G/F	G/F	\$102,614	\$123,665	\$116,737	13.8%	
Brigham City	0.000444	0.000450	\$285,481	\$292,147	\$306,841	7.5%	
Garland	G/F	G/F	\$14,081	\$16,338	\$22,848	62.3%	
Tremonton	0.000067	0.000066	\$41,361	\$51,046	\$55,771	34.8%	
CACHE COUNTY							
Cache County	G/F	G/F	\$76,784	\$98,993	\$107,174	39.6%	
Hyrum	G/F	G/F	\$58,713	\$76,123	\$78,155	33.1%	
Lewiston	G/F	G/F	\$31,780	\$31,700	\$40,952	28.9%	
Logan	0.000905	0.000852	\$835,735	\$904,305	\$945,353	13.1%	
North Logan	0.000618	0.000592	\$134,432	\$150,871	\$345,792	157.2%	
Richmond	G/F	G/F	\$34,770	\$33,457	\$35,830	3.0%	
Smithfield	G/F	G/F	\$49,020	\$60,698	\$70,103	43.0%	
CARBON COUNTY							
Carbon County	G/F	G/F	\$40,350	\$43,417	\$46,662	15.6%	
	G/F G/F	G/F G/F	\$40,330 \$21,920	\$43,417 \$20,430	\$46,662 \$22,517	2.7%	
Helper Price	G/F G/F	G/F G/F					
rnce	G/F	G/F	\$249,162	\$253,382	\$282,491	13.4%	
DAGGETT COUNTY	G/F	G/F	\$6,911	\$7,249	\$7,652	10.7%	
DAVIS COUNTY							
Davis County	N/A	0.000435	\$2,294,652	\$2,487,000	\$2,845,710	24.0%	
Kaysville	G/F	G/F	\$197,726	\$215,538	\$246,067	24.4%	
DUCHESNE COUNTY	0.000148	0.000175	\$132,956	\$128,061	\$163,238	22.8%	
EMERY COUNTY	0.000374	0.000399	\$617,811	\$602,459	\$613,430	-0.7%	
GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH	G/F	G/F	\$59,915	\$61,223	\$72,270	20.6%	
GRAND COUNTY	0.000318	0.000483	\$155,544	\$174,360	\$231,676	48.9%	
IRON COUNTY							
Iron County	0.000073	0.000069	\$88,245	\$90,307	\$98,471	11.6%	
Cedar City	G/F	G/F	\$134,836	\$143,557	\$178,408	32.3%	
Parowan	G/F	G/F	\$52,538	\$58,625	\$56,036	6.7%	
JUAB COUNTY							
	G/F	G/F	\$16,674	\$16,464	\$10.020	12 50/	
Juab County Nephi	G/F G/F	G/F G/F	\$10,674 \$51,163	\$16,464 \$58,777	\$18,928 \$61,352	13.5% 19.9%	
•	<i></i> -		,	y. · ·		/ •	
KANE COUNTY	C/E	C/E	#20 750	ФОО ОТО	000.550	2.50/	
Kane County	G/F	G/F	\$22,759	\$23,278	\$23,552	3.5%	
Kanab	G/F	G/F	\$68,497	\$72,087	\$77,612	13.3%	
MILLARD COUNTY							
Millard County	G/F	G/F	\$55,016	\$59,935	\$71,977	30.8%	
Delta	G/F	G/F	\$67,357	\$71,887	\$81,093	20.4%	
Fillmore	G/F	G/F	\$46,488	\$48,361	\$76,487	64.5%	
G/F—General Fund N/A—Not	t Available						

Jurisdictional Maintenance of Effort Comparison

Library Jurisdiction	Certified 1998	Tax Rate 2000	1998 Maintenance Of Effort	1999 Maintenance Of Effort	2000 Maintenance Of Effort	Percent Change 98-00
MORGAN COUNTY	0.000161	0.000152	\$77,988	\$95,116	\$109,078	39.9%
PIUTE COUNTY	G/F	G/F	\$10,147	\$10,413	\$10,554	4.0%
RICH COUNTY	G/F	G/F	\$21,298	\$22,841	\$25,195	18.3%
SALT LAKE COUNTY			****			
Murray	0.000421	0.000401	\$841,017	\$1,031,084	\$1,087,003	29.2%
Salt Lake City	0.000749	0.000785	\$7,368,853	\$7,858,131	\$8,140,466	10.5%
Salt Lake County	G/F	G/F	\$17,540,157	\$17,640,744	\$19,222,258	9.6%
SAN JUAN COUNTY	0.000443	0.000443	\$173,323	\$181,607	\$203,399	17.4%
SANPETE COUNTY						
Sanpete County	G/F	G/F	\$39,418	\$39,778	\$47,108	19.5%
Ephraim	G/F	G/F	\$49,312	\$81,464	\$77,521	57.2%
Gunnison	0.000156	0.000172	\$16,368	\$15,677	\$15,198	-7.1%
Manti	G/F	G/F	\$44,205	\$52,182	\$52,299	18.3%
Mt. Pleasant	0.000428	0.000327	\$60,928	\$65,122	\$69,048	13.3%
SEVIER COUNTY	G/P	G/D	***	024.042	005.444	2= <0/
Sevier County	G/F	G/F	\$27,747	\$31,962	\$35,411	27.6%
Monroe	G/F	G/F	\$13,870	\$16,379	\$24,204	74.5%
Richfield	G/F	G/F	\$88,202	\$89,878	\$98,493	11.7%
Salina	G/F	G/F	\$23,809	\$33,071	\$55,818	134.4%
SUMMIT COUNTY						
Summit County	G/F	G/F	\$214,948	\$216,818	\$350,250	62.9%
Park City	G/F	G/F	\$403,890	\$465,016	\$463,874	14.9%
TOOELE COUNTY						
Tooele County	G/F	G/F	\$65,430	\$75,620	\$84,774	29.6%
Tooele	G/F	G/F	\$207,239	\$250,041	\$304,027	46.7%
UINTAH COUNTY	0.000503	0.000498	\$511,791	\$557,803	\$594,287	16.1%
UTAH COUNTY						
Utah County	G/F	G/F	\$104,670	\$125,452	\$117,034	11.8%
American Fork	G/F	G/F	\$324,263	\$334,902	\$355,690	9.7%
Lehi	G/F	G/F	\$205,430	\$242,158	\$257,168	25.2%
Orem	G/F	G/F	\$2,001,266	\$2,114,009	\$2,225,931	11.2%
Payson	G/F	G/F	\$165,296	\$173,063	\$264,656	60.1%
Pleasant Grove	G/F	G/F	\$242,676	\$276,278	\$280,988	15.8%
Provo	0.000517	0.000902	\$1,640,000	\$1,751,193	\$1,860,000	13.4%
Santaquin	0.000158	0.000166	\$18,600	\$21,479	\$32,940	77.1%
Spanish Fork	G/F	G/F	\$218,589	\$248,947	\$307,729	40.8%
Springville	G/F	G/F	\$393,931	\$452,016	\$456,311	15.8%
WASATCH COUNTY	0.000122	0.000106	\$143,548	\$150,144	\$164,109	14.3%
WASHINGTON COUNTY	0.000306	0.000320	\$1,253,646	\$1,423,227	\$1,522,605	21.5%
WAYNE COUNTY	G/F	G/F	\$13,896	\$13,701	\$16,000	15.1%
WEBER COUNTY	0.000631	0.000595	\$3,632,322	\$3,885,769	\$4,116,259	13.3%
Statewide Totals:	***	***	\$44,295,167	\$46,615,840	\$50,568,939	14.2%
G/F—General Fund N/A—N	Not Available					